

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of
the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.

1/4

Fax: 1-703-305-32-30. 4 pages
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Administrator of Office of Initial Patent
 Examination Mr. Stroud Dora (pers nally)
 Customer Service Center,
 United States Patent and Trademark
 Office, Washington D.C. 20231 USA

Please, Big Attention, to writ my address correctly:
 Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue
 Ste Marthe, 75010 Paris France
 Application N°09/744994
 (PCT/IB00/00843), filing dat 06/22/00
 Confirmation N°4671, Fax of 4 pages: all signed.
 Paris, 08th October 2001

Sir, I must ask your personal supervision for exceptional outrage upon National seal legality in OIPE.

§1. This is PCT procedure Application. And at US National stage, the essential part of Initial examination was already done (and clearly without any correction) by USPTO National stage Processing Division of the Office of PCT Operations (to see at p.3: net judicial authentic Form PCT/DO/EO/903) [MPEP §1893.03(a)]. Moreover, it was exemplary Application (60 pages) with ut any correction by ISA = EPO and by HeadS of International Receiving Office and IB Examining Section, personally. But the employee of your OIPE makes the real avalanche of unprobable errors with Filing Recelpt (also judicial authentic), having the seal with state decorations. Even in this case! But moreover, he, even, does not correct (during 3.5 months!) this avalanche of his very simple (it is 10 minutes!) errors without any explanation, unl gally depriving me of correct judicial obligatory Form, like for accumulation of errors for future. The disrespect of the legacy of state seal by simple employee is stunning.

§2. Unfortunately, this stunning disrespect, even of National seal, by simple employee c relates with intentional proven grabbing (for time) of the proofs of receiving of my letters by, justly, USPTO. You can see below these documents, that could have more general scale (to s e below).

§3. I am sending you the above Documents and my letter (also of 08th Oct. 01, 3 pages) to this employee (with its Forms PCT/DO/EO/903 and Filing Recelpt). I ask you to supervise the work of this unnormal case of disrespect of State seal legality. Thank you in forward.

Sincerely Dr.Y.Zagyansky

SUPPLEMENT: 100%-Proofs!! (of receiving by, justly, USPTO of my letters) were grabbed intentionally for time.

Below, you can see the copies of documents (two recelpts and letter). The two proofs of receiving of my 2 letters by USPTO (definitive claims) were justly specially grabbed for time! Unfortunately, there is the interference with strange avalanches of unprobable errors in USPTO.

1. I sent 2 letters in USPTO: 05/21/01 and 05/22/01.
 2. Without acknowledgements of receipts, I asked confirmation of receiving: 06/14/01.
 3. Both acknowledgements of receipts were received by me 07/05/01 (stamps of 'Entraide' mad always).

LA POSTE

Service Client Courrier

Votre Conseiller :

Pascale BOISSEAU

☎ 05 57 55 51 48

Fax : 05 57 55 45 39

Réf : 1623644

N° Fiche Client : 0158

Monsieur,

Monsieur Yuly ZAGYAMSKYA

22 Rue Ste Marthe

75010 PARIS 10

Libourne, le 29 aout 2001

'Entraide' obligatory stamp: 05 July 2001

4. Moreover, 'confirmation' that one, indeed, received letter 'was not received' (100% falseness).

Le 14/06/2001, vous avez signalé au Responsable Clientèle du bureau de Poste de : PARIS ODEON la non-réception de votre envoi référencé 07045 destiné à : US PATENTS AND TRACCEMARK OFFICE résidant à WASHINGTON DC ETATS UNIS.

Votre envoi est assuré. Une indemnité de 300,00 Francs vous sera remise par le Bureau de Poste de PARIS ODEON dans les sept jours.

L'enquête effectuée par le Service Client Courrier auprès des services postaux français et étrangers concernés par l'acheminement de votre envoi est restée sans résultat.

Maryse MIGNARD
 Chargée des Relations Clientèles

2nd page of Fax to Mr.D.Str ud 2/4

Supplement.

Yuly Zagysky

Fax: 1-703-305-32-30

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Office of Initial Patent Examination

Customer Service Center

(for attention of Mr. Nguyen, Son:

I am OIPE who made data entry)

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Washington D.C. 20231 USA

Please, Big Attention to write my address correctly:

Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe

75010 Paris France. Application N°09/744994

(PCT/IB00/00843) filing date 06/22/00,

Confirmation N°4671.

3 pages of Fax: all signed.

Paris, 08th October 2001

zagyansk@cyberport.tm.fr And yulizag@voila.fr

Sir, §1. Justly for this National stage Application [MPEP §1893.03(a)], you had already facilitated initial examination after, already correct!!, work of USPTO National Stage Processing Division of the Office of PCT Operations (judicial! Form PCT/DO/EO/903- see p.2). However, without any new reason, your Filing Receipt, that is also the "judicially noticed" "authentic" Form (having seal with state decorations) has de facto the avalanche of unprobable errors. Moreover, in spite of my Faxes (of 20 June 01 and 14 Sept. 01 [by 2 numbers]) and received letter of 12 July 01, I had no any answer (however very simple), like you accumulate the errors for the future (of course, you know well MPEP §502 about minor errors). "The USPTO will generate another Filing receipt incorporating the requested corrections.." (according to your letter), but already during 3.5 months, there is no any answer, any explanation.

§2. I simply enumerate the incorrectnesses, in sending also your copy with "changes noted thereon" (as you asked) (p.3). Take, please, into consideration these last corrections. Thanks.

A). The filing date is 06/22/2001 (as in PCT/DO.. and International Publication): you **CHANGED ALREADY CORRECT judicial** (respect, please) (well studied! de facto) data of USPTO professionals.

B). My address is "Dr. Y.Zagyansky, (Yuly Zagysky), Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe 75010 Paris France" (you could not simply re-copy!, this address, written in my 7 letters after receiving of 1st USPTO Form and written several times in sent originally PTO Forms and Covering letter! (respect, please, of seal).

C). There were 5 drawings in (sent by WIPO) International Publication, you do not mention them!

D). Application must have, normally, "1" Independent claim (according to ISA= EPO, who has the same patentability, according to your Agreement), but you wrote "two". [To see carefully my definitive claims, since 27 (27) April 01 with {ONLY in fact} too minuscule elimination of several numbers for USA in comparison with original claims, searched by EPO without any correction].

E). (new!) You made the special excessive work in determining the preliminary class: 250 ("Radiation Energy"). But why? You had already! IN PCT PROCEDURE (please, the law) the class, determined by Field Specialists of ISA=EPO: G21K (1/00): it corresponds (simply, according to USPTO Internet Classification) to class 378 ("X- ray or gamma ray systems or devices"). It is better. Does it mean, that there is, already, the tendency to ignore, apriori (law, please!) the perfect EPO (ISA) Search without any correction and any pertinent X and Y? (And International Preliminary Examining, that IPEA = EPO is preparing now?). However, justly with EPO, the USPTO has the Agreement with the same patentability [MPEP §609; 1893.03(g)]. BUT THE ART UNIT IS GOOD (as well automatically Technology Center).

F). (new!) You wrote the correct statement, that "THIS APPLICATION IS A (Y.Z.: 35 U.S.C., please to be accurately in judicial form) 371 of PCT/IB00/00843 06/22/2000". However why did you write it at such strange place, but not at the top or at the bottom? I did not claim any Domestic Priority and it is not written in the (sent only by WIPO) text of Application (Publication of PCT).

Sincerely

Dr.Y.Zagyansky (please, BIG attention to write by correct address:Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe 75010 Paris France). *Yuly Zagysky*



3d page of Fax to Mr.D.Stroud

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 Commissioner for Patents, Box PCT
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Washington, D.C. 20231
 www.uspto.gov

U.S. APPLICATION NO.	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO.
09/744994	ZAGYANSKY	Y

IT IS PERFECT UNRESPECT of LEGACY OF NATIONAL
 SEAL AFTER THESE CORRECT DATA, TO MAKE THEM
 UNCORRECT. EVEN FOR NEW JUDICIAL FORM AND
 MOREOVER IN SILENCE OF 3.5 MONTHS!

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.	
PCT/IB00/00843	
I.A. FILING DATE	PRIORITY DATE
22 JUN 00	02 NOV 99

DATE MAILED: 05 APR 2001

NOTIFICATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF APPLICATION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371
 AND 37 CFR 1.494 OR 1.495

1. The applicant is hereby advised that the United States Patent and Trademark Office in its capacity as ☒ a Designated Office (37 CFR 1.494), ☐ an Elected Office (37 CFR 1.495), has determined that the above-identified international application has met the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371, and is **ACCEPTED** for national patentability examination in the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

2. The United States Application Number assigned to the application is shown above and the relevant dates are:

21 FEB 2001	21 FEB 2001
DATE OF RECEIPT OF	DATE OF RECEIPT OF ALL
35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), (c)(2) and (c)(4) REQUIREMENTS	35 U.S.C. 371 REQUIREMENTS

A Filing Receipt (PTO-103X) will be issued for the present application in due course. **THE DATE APPEARING ON THE FILING RECEIPT AS THE "FILING DATE" IS THE DATE ON WHICH THE LAST OF THE 35 U.S.C. 371 REQUIREMENTS HAS BEEN RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE. THIS DATE IS SHOWN ABOVE.** The filing date of the above-identified application is the international filing date of the international application (Article 11(3) and 35 U.S.C. 363). Once the Filing Receipt has been received, send all correspondence to the Group Art Unit designated thereon.

3. ☒ A request for immediate examination under 35 U.S.C. 371(f) was received on 21 FEB 2001 and the application will be examined in turn.

4. The following items have been received:

- ☒ U.S. Basic National Fee.
- ☒ Copy of the international application.
- ☒ Translation of the international application into English.
- ☒ Oath or Declaration of inventor(s).
- ☐ Copy of Article 19 amendments. ☐ Translation of Article 19 amendments into English.
 The Article 19 amendments ☐ have ☐ not been entered.
- ☐ The International Preliminary Examination Report in English and its Annexes, if any.
- ☐ Copy of the Annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER).
☐ Translation of Annexes to the IPER into English.
 The Annexes ☐ have ☐ not been entered.
- ☐ Preliminary amendment(s) filed _____ and _____
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) filed _____ and _____
- ☐ Assignment document.
- ☐ Power of Attorney and/or Change of Address.
- ☐ Substitute specification filed _____
- ☒ Indication of Small Entity Status.
- ☐ Priority Document.
- ☒ Copy of the International Search Report ☒ and copies of the references cited therein.
- ☒ Other: You Need to send in \$270.00 for multiply claims

Applicant is reminded that any communication to the United States Patent and Trademark Office must be mailed to the address given in the heading and include the U.S. application no. shown above (37 CFR 1.5).

SHELBY VIGIL, PARALEGAL

Telephone: 703-305-3653

4th page of Fax to Mr.D.Stroud

4 / 4



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	DRAWINGS	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
09/744,994	02/24/2001	2881	430		5 drawings	12	1

06/22/2000

CONFIRMATION NO. 4671

FILING RECEIPT



OC000000006087220

~~Yuly Zagjansky~~ Dr. Y.Zagjansky
~~Entraide~~ Entraide, 22 rue Ste
~~22 rue Ste Marthe~~ Marthe, 75010
~~Stante~~ Paris France
~~Paris Odeon, 75010~~
~~FRANCE~~

Date Mailed: 05/17/2001

Receipt is acknowledged of this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

Yuly Zagjansky, Paris, FRANCE;

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

THIS APPLICATION IS A 371 OF PCT/IB00/00843 06/22/2000

THIS APPLICATION IS A 35. U.S.C. 371 OF PCT/IB00/00843 06/22/2000

Foreign Applications

PCT/FR99/01851 (03 NOV. 99) (to see JUDICIAL, USPTO ALREADY MADE, CAREFULLY
STUDIED FORM PCT/DO/EO/903 and WO 00/52989, PCT Gazette 04/2001)

Projected Publication Date: N/A

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

Title

Einstein-bohr end: new atomic scale physics, electric field: neutrinos and electrons in
conversions, perpetual motion, development: seisms, extinguished volcan, created islands,
big bang energy

Preliminary Class

250 better 378! as determined by the Field Specialistes of ISA = EPO: G21K (1/00):
it corrsp nds (acc rding to USPTO Intern t Classification) to class 378 ('X- ray or gamma ray systems
or devices").

Data entry by : NGUYEN, SON

Team : OIPE

Date: 05/17/2001

1. THE INVENTION OF THE PRESENT APPLICATION IS BASED UPON THE INVENTION OF THE APPLICANT, YULY ZAGJANSKY, AND IS NOT BASED UPON ANY OTHER INVENTION OF ANY OTHER PERSON.

Yuly Zagjansky